



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,401	01/04/2006	Christy L. Ludlow	11613.87USWO	6746
7590 09/08/2008 Katherine M. Kowalchuk MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903				
EXAMINER				
GETZOW, SCOTT M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3762				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/08/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/529,401

Applicant(s)

LUDLOW ET AL.

Examiner

/Scott M. Getzow/

Art Unit

3762

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) /Scott M. Getzow/.(3) Michael Shmilovich (in office).(2) Christy Ludlow (in office).(4) Katherine Kowalchuk (via telephone).

Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: power point demonstration given of swallowing mechanism and asserted technical differences between applicant's invention and prior art Freed patent.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Freed and Sanders.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave a demonstration of alleged differences between their invention and that of prior art applied. Will submit amendment and remarks.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Scott M. Getzow/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762